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Thermophilic microbial inhabitants of active seafloor and continental hot springs populate the deepest branches of the universal phylogenetic tree, making hydrothermal ecosystems the most ancient continuously inhabited ecosystems on Earth. Geochemical consequences of hot water-rock interactions render these environments habitable and supply a diverse array of energy sources. Clues to the strategies for how life thrives in these dynamic ecosystems are beginning to be elucidated through the tools of biogeochemistry, microbiology, ecology, molecular biology, and genomics. These efforts have the potential to reveal how ecosystems originate, the extent of the subsurface biosphere, and the driving forces of evolution.

In 1897, Davis (1) published a paper in Science that described the “vegetation” of hot springs at Yellowstone National Park, including observations of life at 85°C, and 6 years later Setchell (2) carefully extended these observations to 89°C. Despite the contributions to thermophilic microbiology by Brock (3) and others, high-temperature organisms remained curiosities until the molecular, phylogenetic, and genomic revolutions of the past two decades moved them to the center of debates about the mechanisms of evolution, the depths of the biosphere, microbial interactions, the origins of ecosystems, and the potential for life on other planets.

Many of the questions driving current research perplexed the pioneers as well. Davis speculated that “Perhaps . . . these organisms resemble more closely the primitive first forms of life than any other living types” and wondered about their evolution, dispersal, and ecology. Setchell too posed a problem that still plagues biochemists: “What is it that enables the protoplasm of the thermal organisms to withstand a temperature which coagulates, and consequently kills, the protoplasm of the majority of organisms.” Here, we examine how these ideas with roots in the 19th century are being tested today with the modern methods of molecular biology and theoretical biogeochemistry. In particular, we attempt to reconcile recent molecular and genomic data with ecological and geochemical evidence of hydrothermal systems. Both genomic and geochemical information are records of evolutionary changes that have occurred in hydrothermal systems (Fig. 1). Genomes of several thermophiles (we use the general term “thermophile” to refer to all organisms that grow optimally above 45°C, including hyperthermophiles that only grow optimally above 80°C) are now sequenced, and geochemical evidence of ecosystem evolution is available in nearly 4 billion years of history of hydrothermally altered rocks, as well as in active hydrothermal ecosystems. Once decoded and integrated, these genomic and geochemical clues can reveal the geologic and evolutionary history of how biogeochemical interactions turn hot water and rocks into habitats.

Diversity of Geochemical Energy Sources

The vast majority of hydrothermal systems operate in the subsurface, without necessarily manifesting any surface expression. Never-
theless, these subsurface systems are fully capable of sustaining life, as shown by direct (4–6) and indirect (7, 8) measures of subsur-
face activity. Without sunlight, life turns to the myriad of geochemical energy sources provided by oxidation-reduction reactions that are far from thermodynamic equilibrium. Many of these energy sources depend, at least in part, on photosynthetic production of O₂ and/or reduced carbon compounds, but sev-
eral are distinctly independent of surface life. Notable among these are those based on H₂, which is a constituent of magmatic gases and is also a product of the geochemical reduction of H₂O at high temperatures.

Metabolic strategies that use H₂ to reduce CO₂, sulfur, or O₂ fuel chemolithoautotrophic primary production in hydrothermal ecosystems and represent energy conservation strategies that can be independent from sunlight. All of these strategies can be traced deeply into the universal tree of life (Figs. 2 and 3). Methanogenesis [CO₂(aq) + 4 H₂(aq) → CH₄(aq) + 2 H₂O] is confined to the Archaea, but both Archaea and Bacteria gain energy from sulfur reduction [S + H₂(aq) → H₂S(aq)] and the “knallgas” reaction [1/2 O₂(aq) + H₂(aq) → H₂O]. Each of these reactions is kinetically sluggish even when there is enormous thermodynamic potential for the reactions to proceed. Laboratory studies (9) have shown that S reduction and O₂ reduction do not proceed measurably in the absence of life at hot spring temperatures, and CO₂ reduction to methane fails to equilibrate rapidly even at 500°C. It is precisely these kinetic barriers to thermodynamically favorable reactions that make them such useful sources of energy for biology. Organisms use enzyme catalysts to lower the activation energy barriers and tap into the free energy released as the reactions are allowed to proceed.

In addition to thermodynamic and kinetic constraints, the possibility that these reactions can supply energy also depends on the availabil-
ity of the reactants. The availability of O₂, and therefore metabolism based on the knallgas re-
action, are limited to somewhat shallower re-
gions of hydrothermal systems, maybe the upper few hundred meters in continental systems where O₂ from the atmosphere can penetrate. In submarine hydrothermal systems, the supply of O₂ depends on the mixing ratio of cold O₂-bearing seawater with the hydrothermal fluids that supply the H₂. In the ideal case, it takes about 2.5 kg of 2°C seawater mixed with 1 kg of 350°C vent fluid to create a 100°C fluid. If no reactions consume or produce O₂ as the fluids mix, then the deep seawater concentration of 0.076 mM would be diluted by the O₂-free vent fluid to about 0.055 mM. Similarly, barring reactions, the H₂ concentration of the mixture would be diluted to about one-third of the concentra-
tion in the vent fluid or, for a fluid from 21°C on the East Pacific Rise (10), to 0.48 from 1.7 mM. Nevertheless, these concentrations would still be far from equilibrium with each other, allowing marine members of the Aquificales [such as Aquifex pyrophilus (11)] and the hyperthermophile Pyrolobus fumarii [maximum growth temperature, 113°C (12)] to gain energy from this water-making reaction. In fact, if only dilution has decreased the concentrations of O₂(aq) and H₂(aq) in a 100°C submarine hydro-
thermal fluid, there is more than 100 kJ of energy available per mole of electrons trans-
ferred in the knallgas reaction. This is sufficient energy to fix CO₂ and/or produce adenosine triphosphate and compares favorably with other sources of energy in submarine hydrothermal ecosystems (13). With sufficient geochemical analyses, it is now possible to evaluate the hy-
drothermal energy supply from hundreds of metabolic reactions (14) and to relate geochemi-
cal variability with the diverse metabolic stra-
gies ongoing in a single community, or in some cases within an individual isolate.

Emerging Views of Thermophilic Microbial Diversity

Over the past two decades, there has been an enormous increase in the number of new thermophilic isolates [reviewed and references in (15)], all of which have devised metabolic strategies to take advantage of the diversity of geo-
chemical energy sources associated with deep-
sea and continental hydrothermal vents. These include microaerophiles, aerobes, and anaer-
obes; heterotrophs that use organic carbon as their sole energy and carbon source, sometimes coupling this with, for example, reduction of iron, as does Geoglobus ahangari (16); and chemolithoautotrophs that use inorganic energy sources and fix CO₂, such as the archaeum Methanocaldococcus janaschii (17) and the bacterium Desulfurobacterium thermolithotro-
phon (18).

Meanwhile, with the use of culture-indepen-
dent approaches based on molecular phylog-
enies of the small subunit ribosomal RNA gene (16S rRNA gene), our view of microbial diver-
sity at deep-sea and continental hot springs has expanded considerably. Instead of obtaining iso-
lates one at a time, molecular assessments si-
multaneously reveal a plethora of novel lineages associated with thermal environments (19–21). We now know that the available isolates repre-
sent only a tiny percentage of the diversity of life at high temperatures. As an example, two as-
sessments conducted in Obsidian Pool, a hot
Spring at Yellowstone National Park, revealed 86 novel lineages, 32 within the \textit{Archaea} (19) and 54 within the \textit{Bacteria} (20), many of which represent groups of microorganisms never seen previously at high temperatures. Within the \textit{Bacteria} alone, 12 novel division-level lineages were proposed.

One surprise from the assessment of the \textit{Archaea} in Obsidian Pool was the presence of sequences that branched deeply within the archaean kingdom \textit{Crenarchaeota} or below the bifurcation between the \textit{Crenarchaeota} and the \textit{Euryarchaeota}. This discovery led to the proposition of a third kingdom within the \textit{Archaea}, the “\textit{Korarchaeota}” (19). Subsequently, additional korarchaeotal sequences were obtained from continental and shallow marine hot springs (21–23), and Burgraff et al. (24) were able to maintain members of the “\textit{Korarchaeota}” in continuous-flow bioreactors as enrichment cultures. In addition to developing strategies to grow this elusive group based on geochemical constraints, it should be feasible to develop large insert [bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC)] clone libraries of environmental DNA where these organisms reside in abundance, such as in the actively venting smectite deposits off the coast of Iceland (23). Sequencing of BAC libraries containing environmental DNA has provided important insights into several abundant and as yet unculturable microorganisms from the environment (25–27).

The genetic diversity exhibited by some continental hot springs correlates with the geochemical diversity observed at these sites. The abundance of energy from an individual reaction will vary accordingly, providing a wealth of potential energy sources that can support many different metabolic strategies. At Obsidian Pool, reduced constituents such as hydrogen sulfide, ammonia, and methane are far from equilibrium with their oxidized counterparts such as sulfate, nitrate, and carbon dioxide, as are \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2 \). Carbon dioxide and \( \text{H}_2 \) are out of equilibrium with methane, making methanogenesis a plausible energy source; whereas in the same samples, methane and \( \text{O}_2 \) are out of equilibrium with \( \text{CO}_2 \), which means that energy is available from methanotrophy as well. The entire carbon cycle may be operating in this hot spring, yet Obsidian Pool is neither unique nor the most extreme example from Yellowstone.

Ammonia concentrations in some Yellowstone springs vary from 0.007 to 46.7 millimolal, pH ranges from \(<2\) to \(>9\), and trace elements such as Al, Fe, Mo, W, and Zn exhibit concentrations ranging from two to five orders of magnitude. These variations can produce radically different habitats in close proximity and change rapidly over time as well, selecting for organisms that respond rapidly to these geochemical fluctuations. In addition to factors such as the abundance of energy from other sources, pH resilience of enzymes, nutrient availability, competition for resources, and the extent to which colonization has occurred, organisms that are able to use a suite of different electron donors and acceptors would have a competitive advantage in a geochemically dynamic environment.

Metabolic plasticity of this type has been demonstrated in many different thermophiles such as \textit{Pyrobaculum aerophilum} (28) and \textit{Persephonella marina} (29). It may also account for the distribution of relatives of \textit{Hydrogenobaculum acidophilus} populating an acid stream in Yellowstone’s Norris Geyser Basin (Fig. 2). These organisms can oxidize hydrogen (with \( \text{O}_2 \)) along a chemical and temperature gradient, and these same organisms may also oxidize arsenite once sulfide concentrations have decreased (30, 31).

In addition to remarkable thermophilic diversity, culture-independent phylogenetic studies also reveal the widespread occurrence of certain lineages. This is particularly noticeable among samples from actively venting submarine hydrothermal chimneys. For example, an archaeal lineage (DHVEG) endemic to vents is prevalent in clone libraries (Fig. 3) from samples from the western Pacific (Manus Basin, Okinawa Basin sediments, and Myojin Knoll in the Izu-Ogasawara arc); along the East Pacific Rise, Juan de Fuca Ridge, in the Indian Ocean; and from an in situ growth chamber experiment on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (21, 32) (33–35). Some of these diversity assessments also yielded 16S rRNA sequences most closely related to sequences previously associated with zones of anaerobic methane oxidation in sediments [the ANME-1 group (33, 36, 37)].

It is generally agreed that in most hydro-
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\[ \text{Escherichia coli, } \text{S10189} \]
\[ \text{Flexibacter flexilis, } \text{Mt02974} \]
\[ \text{Helio bacterium chlorum, } \text{M11122} \]
\[ \text{Bacillus subtilis, } \text{K00637} \]
\[ \text{Deinococcus radiodurans, } \text{M21413} \]
\[ \text{Thermus thermophilus, } \text{X07798} \]
\[ \text{Thermodesulfobacterium hydrogenophilum, } \text{AP532514} \]
\[ \text{Thermodesulfobacterium thermophilum, } \text{AP534601} \]
\[ \text{Geothermobacterium ferrireducens, } \text{AP411013} \]
\[ \text{Thermotoga maritima, } \text{M21774*} \]
\[ \text{Thermosiphon melanesiensis, Z70248} \]
\[ \text{Desulfuro bacterium thermolithotrophicum, } \text{AR001049} \]
\[ \text{VC2.1bac27, } \text{AF088801} \]
\[ \text{Persephonella guaymasensis, } \text{AF985630} \]
\[ \text{Persephonella str. CIR30126, } \text{AF993378} \]
\[ \text{Persephonella str. MARR703} \]
\[ \text{Persephonella str. CIR30173, } \text{AF993379} \]
\[ \text{Persephonella marina, } \text{AP188332} \]
\[ \text{SRI240, } \text{AF255597} \]
\[ \text{NAK9, } \text{AB007537} \]
\[ \text{pAZ4, } \text{A9453508} \]
\[ \text{pBB, } \text{AF113542} \]
\[ \text{Hydrogenothermus marinus, } \text{A2292325} \]
\[ \text{Aquifex pyrophilus, } \text{MB5348} \]
\[ \text{Aquifex aeolicus, } \text{AB000790*} \]
\[ \text{Hydrogenobacter thermophilus, } \text{Z30189} \]
\[ \text{Hydrogenobaculum acidophilus, D16296} \]
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Fig 2. The 16S ribosomal RNA phylogenetic tree was constructed on the basis of 1301 homologous S1 regions using maximum likelihood analysis and the ARB analysis package (http://www.mikrobiologie.tu-muenchen.de/pub/ARB/). GenBank accession numbers follow the clone or organism name. Asterisks indicate genomes of thermophiles for which there is a completed sequence. Red indicates organisms that can use the knallgas reaction (many of the marine isolates can also reduce nitrate), blue indicates chemolithoautotrophic and heterotrophic sulfur reducers, sky blue indicates methanogens, green indicates sulfate or iron reducers, yellow indicates heterotrophs, and black indicates nonthermophiles or 16S rRNA sequences from the environment. Many thermophiles can use additional electron acceptors and donors than those depicted (15).
Many thermophiles can use additional electron acceptors and donors than those depicted in Fig 3. The widespread occurrence of the *Aquificales* in deep-sea and continental thermal springs may reflect their ability to occupy zones of rapid mixing between hydrothermal fluids and cold oxygenated seawater at deep-sea vents (providing a suite of different electron acceptors) and those continental hot springs where oxygen availability is limited to zones of shallow flow or turbulent mixing.

**Ecology of Thermophiles**

In addition to in situ ecological experiments, studying microbial isolates or consortia under laboratory conditions provides further clues to their growth, survival, and ecological strategies in the environment. Additionally, the many thermophilic genomes that have been sequenced over the past 6 years provide a resource for exploring ecological potential and for linking gene expression with environmental parameters.

It is becoming clear that microbial communities adapt to dynamic chemical and physical conditions in complex ways, as illustrated in biofilm formation (Fig. 4). For example, *Thermococcus* is perhaps the most frequently isolated thermophile from deep-sea vents, although molecular phylogenetic assessments do not always detect this group (51). Strains of the *Thermococcales* have also been isolated from diffuse (cool) flow areas at deep-sea vents and may represent *Thermococcales* in the sub-seafloor (7), where they may develop biofilms to ensure the formation of robust stable communities that are not entrained in the dynamic fluid flow. Indeed, members from both the *Thermococcales* and *Archaeoglobales* are capable of forming copious amounts of capsular polysaccharides and exopolysaccharides in laboratory cultures, and exopolysaccharides in laboratory cultures, often under conditions of stress (52). Furthermore, it has been estimated (53) that during exopolysaccharide formation, phosphosugars are diverted from primary metabolism, with a consequent impact on cellular metabolism. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms of biofilm formation and regulation could reveal
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**Fig 3.** The 16S ribosomal RNA phylogenetic tree was constructed on the basis of 1307 homologous positions using maximum likelihood analysis and the ARB analysis package (http://www.mikrobiologie.tu-muenchen.de/pub/ARB/). GenBank accession numbers follow the clone or organism name. Asterisks indicate genomes of thermophilic * Archaea* for which there is a completed sequence.
how these isolates function in this environment, especially when coupled with geochemical assessments of energy supply.

Not only is it important to microorganisms to remain in place in stable habitats by building biofilms, but motility provides a mechanism by which microorganisms can respond to geochemical or thermal gradients and find new environments. One outcome from the genomic comparison between *P. furiosus* and *P. horikoshi* was that although both species are motile, no bacterial-like methylated chemotaxis genes could be detected for *P. furiosus* (54). Similarly, there was no evidence for the genes for classical chemotactic signal transduction pathways in the *Mcd. jannachii* and *Aquificales* genomes (55, 56), raising questions about mechanisms of regulation of motility that these thermophiles might exhibit at hydrothermal vents. Chemotaxis may be more important than chemotaxis for thermophiles, because maintaining a position in a thermal gradient is probably critical. Therefore, thermophiles may require a much simpler thermosensor than the complex regulation of chemotaxis proteins (57).

Some in situ experiments suggest that successional changes occur once a biofilm is established at deep-sea vents, including colonization by heterotrophs such as the *Thermococcales* (15, 32). Variability in 16S rRNA sequence data implied that various *Thermococcales* populations are present in this biofilm, each of which is perhaps capable of using different organic carbon sources, such as proteinaceous compounds. Indeed, from genomic comparisons of the closely related isolates *P. furiosus*, *P. horikoshi*, and *P. abyssi*, there are substantial differences in the proteolytic repertoire of this group (58), implying that in the environment, these closely related organisms could cohabit the same biofilm if a diversity of carbon sources were available. Furthermore, when *P. furiosus*, *P. abyssi*, *T. profundus*, *T. peptonophilus*, and *Thermotoga maritima* were grown in the same medium at their respective temperature optima, they produced a very different suite of extracellular proteases (58), which suggests that at deep-sea vents, genetically related but physiologically distinct *Thermococcales* evolved to occupy slightly different temperature regimes and use slightly different proteinaceous compounds. This is reminiscent of the potential resource partitioning associated with different ecotypes seen in hot-spring cyanobacterial mats (59) or with marine *Synchecoccus* and *Prochlorococcus* isolates (60). In order to occupy a specific ecological niche, the genomes have responded to the environmental and geochemical gradients, even on a fine scale.

Genomic data are providing additional insight into the ecological potential of some thermophiles. As an example, the genome of *A. aeolicus*, a very close relative of *A. pyrophilus*, brought into question the deeply rooted branching of this lineage within the bacterial domain (56). Yet, pertinent to how genomes provide clues to an organism’s eco-physiology, *A. aeolicus* is unable to grow in the laboratory with nitrate as an electron acceptor, whereas its close relative and most other marine *Aquificales* can ([11] and reviewed in (15)). However, a nitrate reductase was identified in the genome of *A. aeolicus*; therefore, this organism probably can use nitrate, and the laboratory conditions for growth of this organism on nitrate have not been determined.

Thermophiles use numerous strategies that enable them to survive fluctuating temperature environments, where exposure to supraoptimal temperatures is probably the norm rather than the exception. As an example, the concentration of an unusual phosphorus-containing solute, di-myo-inositol-1,1′-phosphate (DIP) increases from about 60 mM to over 1.0 M when *P. furiosus* is grown at supraoptimal temperatures (>100°C). Other thermophiles such as *Thermotoga maritima*, *Mcd. jannachii*, and *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* produce DNA repair, and similar compounds such as cyclic-2,3-bisphosphoglycerate or di-glycerol-phosphate [DGP (61, 62)]. These are all thought to be involved in thermoprotection of some biomolecules and may serve as compatible solutes [as is the case for DGP (63)], assisting the cell in responding to osmotic shock.

Other thermal adaptive features that enable thermophiles to thrive include mechanisms for thermal stable design of proteins (64), heat shock adaptations, and efficient DNA repair. *Sulfolobus shibatae* and *Pyrococcus* strain ES4 (64, 65) demonstrate a heat shock response (a general term for stress-related adaptation) due to a shift to supraoptimal temperatures. Others, such as *T. barophilus*, a barophilic thermophile, produce elevated concentrations of a protein related to the ubiquitous heat shock proteins when grown under atmospheric pressure and not at 200 atm—the pressure from which it was originally obtained (66).

At high temperatures, DNA stability is reduced and mutational frequencies increase, providing many dilemmas for thermophiles. Many produce proteins that help stabilize DNA, such as reverse gyrase (67) (*Thermotoga*, *Aquifices*, and most thermophilic *Archaea* and histones (in *Euryarchaeota*) (68)). Furthermore, although the hyperthermophiles *P. furiosus* and *P. horikoshi* are closely related to each other based on 16S rRNA sequence analysis, their genomes differ significantly in gene order, with displacements and inversions. The high frequency of inversions and insertions present in these two isolates may result from the high rate of DNA damage and repair that the organisms are confronted with in their ecological niches (54).

Support for this argument comes from the fact that *P. furiosus* is extremely resistant to radiation (69). But because no proteins induced by gamma irradiation could be detected (54), the efficient DNA repair mechanism is unknown. Alternatively, it is possible that proteins involved in radiosensitivity are produced constitutively in thermophiles that are continually exposed to DNA damage in the environment. Additionally, the high fidelity of mutation repair in thermophiles, and particularly in hyperthermophilic *Archaea*, does provide a competitive advantage in a complex thermophilic community. How hyperthermophilic *Archaea* do this is still a mystery. The two most common and conserved strategies for repairing DNA are nucleotide excision repair and mismatch repair; both have been detected in *Thermotoga* and *Aquifices* genomes, yet neither has been identified in *Archaea* growing above 80°C (70).

It should be kept in mind that a caveat to all of these studies is that they are of single isolates and a limited suite of organisms, far removed from their natural environment. Few attempts have been made to grow thermophiles as consortia as they occur in the environment, although one study showed that growing *Thermotoga maritima* and *Mcd. jannachii* in coculture caused a 10-fold increase in the cell densities of the former (71). However, with the genomes of many model ther-
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**Fig. 4.** Scanning electron micrographs of microbical biofilms associated with (A) a thermal spring colonized by black filamentous biomass in Furnes, Sao Miguel, Azores (photo, Jorge Medeiros and Paula Aguiar) and (B) an actively venting deep-sea sulfide deposit from the Edmond vent field along the Central Indian Ridge (photo, Joost Hoek).
mophiles now available, we are poised to query genome arrays of isolates with envi-
ronmental RNA, in search of expression pat-
terns that may more closely resemble activi-
ties in situ. Coupling gene expression studies with analysis of dynamic geochemical varia-
tions may soon elucidate some of the driving forces of evolution in hydrothermal ecosys-
tems (Fig. 1).

Molecular, physiological, and ecological studies of thermophiles have had ramifications for fundamental processes and ques-
tions in biology. For example, whole-genome comparisons that included thermophiles confirmed that lateral gene transfer is prevalent, particularly in organisms that share similar ecological niches (72), and revealed higher resolution of deeper evolutionary relationships than prokaryotic taxa (73). Additionally, aminocarboxyl-transfer RNA synthesis, once thought to be a conserved process and central to the fidelity of translation of mrNA, was initially shown to have greater biochemical and evolutionary complexity through the analysis of the genome sequence of the hyperthermophile M. jannaschii (74).

Thermophily and the Evolution of Life on Earth

Environmental conditions are some of the strongest forces that drive evolution. Because hydrothermal systems have prevailed throughout Earth’s history, the extant organisms in these systems and their genomes are living records of changes over geological time. The deeply branching lineages of the universal tree of life are all occupied by thermophiles, although this is still controver-
sial (75, 76). The Aquificales are one of the deepest bacterial lineages, and the metabolic plasticity exhibited by representatives of the group, such as the chemolithoautotrophic Persephonella marina, may thus be a relic of their evolution on a dynamically changing planet. Members of this lineage might have initially evolved using the limited redox coupling available in the absence of molecular oxygen. Once photosynthesis drove the shift to an oxic atmosphere, near-surface redox chemistry changed dramatically, and other acceptors such as nitrate would have been available. Whether the ability of many thermophiles to use low concentrations of oxygen was a result of lateral gene transfer, a relic of gaining energy from quenched volcanic gas that can contain minute traces of O2 (77), or an evolutionary response to the changing at-
mosphere is just as speculative. Understanding how such filamentous organisms thrive in their geochemically dynamic niches, what the mechanisms are by which they become mineral-
ized, and whether they survive fossilization, are all essential in our interpretation of the early rock record (and putative fossils from elsewhere in the solar system). The urgency of such studies was highlighted re-
cently with the renewed controversy over the nature of some of the earliest fossils (78, 79). Perhaps some of the answers to these riddles are hidden in the genomes and ecology of some of the extant thermophiles.
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